

IRREDUCIBILITY OF $\overline{M}_{0,n}(G/P, \beta)$

JESPER FUNCH THOMSEN

1. INTRODUCTION

Let G be a complex connected linear algebraic group, P be a parabolic subgroup of G and $\beta \in A_1(G/P)$ be a 1-cycle class in the Chow group of G/P . An n -pointed genus 0 stable map into G/P representing the class β , consists of data $(\mu : C \rightarrow X; p_1, \dots, p_n)$, where C is a connected, at most nodal, complex projective curve of arithmetic genus 0, and μ is a complex morphism such that $\mu_*[C] = \beta$ in $A_1(G/P)$. In addition p_i , $i = 1, \dots, n$ denote n nonsingular marked points on C such that every component of C , which by μ maps to a point, has at least 3 points which is either nodal or among the marked points (this we will refer to as every component of C being stable). The set of n -pointed genus 0 stable maps into G/P representing the class β , is parameterized by a coarse moduli space $\overline{M}_{0,n}(G/P, \beta)$. In general it is known that $\overline{M}_{0,n}(G/P, \beta)$ is a normal complex projective scheme with finite quotient singularities. In this paper we will prove that $\overline{M}_{0,n}(G/P, \beta)$ is irreducible. It should also be noted that we in addition will prove that the boundary divisors in $\overline{M}_{0,n}(G/P, \beta)$, usually denoted by $D(A, B, \beta_1, \beta_2)$ ($\beta = \beta_1 + \beta_2$, $A \cup B$ a partition of $\{1, \dots, n\}$), are irreducible.

After this work was carried out we learned that B. Kim and R. Pandharipande [6] had proven the same results, and even proved connectedness of the corresponding moduli spaces in higher genus. Our methods however differs in many ways. For example in this paper we consider the action of a Borel subgroup of G on $\overline{M}_{0,n}(G/P, \beta)$, while Kim and Pandharipande mainly concentrate on maximal torus action. Another important difference is that we in this presentation proceed by induction on β . This means that the question of $\overline{M}_{0,n}(G/P, \beta)$ being irreducible, can be reduced to simple cases.

This work was carried out while I took part in the program “Enumerative geometry and its interaction with theoretical physics” at the Mittag-Leffler Institute. I would like to use this opportunity to thank the Mittag-Leffler Institute for creating a stimulating atmosphere. Thanks are also due to Niels Lauritzen and Søren Have Hansen for useful discussions concerning the generalization from full flag varieties to partial flag varieties.

2. SUMMARY ON $\overline{M}_{0,n}(G/P, \beta)$

In this section we will summarize the properties of the coarse moduli space $\overline{M}_{0,n}(G/P, \beta)$ which we will make use of. The notes on quantum cohomology by W. Fulton and R. Pandharipande [3] will serve as our main reference.

As mentioned in the introduction the moduli space $\overline{M}_{0,n}(G/P, \beta)$ parameterizes n -pointed genus 0 stable maps into G/P representing the class β . By definition β is effective if it is represented by some n -pointed genus 0 stable map. In the following we will only consider

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary : 14N10; Secondary : 14H10, 14M17 .

values of n and β where $\overline{M}_{0,n}(G/P, \beta)$ is non-empty. This means β must be effective and $n \geq 0$, and if $\beta = 0$ we must have $n \geq 3$.

The moduli space $\overline{M}_{0,n}(G/P, \beta)$ is known to be a normal projective scheme (see [3]). This implies that $\overline{M}_{0,n}(G/P, \beta)$ splits up into a finite disjoint union of its components. This we will use several times.

2.1. Contraction morphism. On $\overline{M}_{0,n+1}(G/P, \beta)$ we have a contraction morphism

$$\overline{M}_{0,n+1}(G/P, \beta) \rightarrow \overline{M}_{0,n}(G/P, \beta)$$

which “forget” the $(n+1)$ ’th marked point. The contraction morphism value on a closed point in $\overline{M}_{0,n+1}(G/P, \beta)$, represented by $(\mu : C \rightarrow G/P; p_1, \dots, p_{n+1})$, is the point in $\overline{M}_{0,n}(G/P, \beta)$ represented by $(\mu^\circ : C^\circ \rightarrow G/P; p_1, \dots, p_n)$, where C° denote C with the unstable components collapsed, and μ° is the map induced from μ . From the construction of $\overline{M}_{0,n}(G/P, \beta)$ it follows, that the contraction map is a surjective map with connected fibres.

2.2. Evaluation map. For each element $a \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ we have an evaluation map

$$\delta_a : \overline{M}_{0,n}(G/P, \beta) \rightarrow G/P.$$

Its value on a closed point in $\overline{M}_{0,n}(G/P, \beta)$ corresponding to $(\mu : C \rightarrow G/P; p_1, \dots, p_n)$ is defined to be $\mu(p_a)$.

2.3. Boundary. By a boundary point in $\overline{M}_{0,n}(G/P, \beta)$ we will mean a point which correspond to a reducible curve. Let $A \cup B = \{1, \dots, n\}$ be a partition of $\{1, \dots, n\}$ in disjoint sets, and let $\beta_1, \beta_2 \in A_1(X)$ be effective classes such that $\beta = \beta_1 + \beta_2$. We will only consider the cases when $\beta_1 \neq 0$ (resp. $\beta_2 \neq 0$) or $|A| \geq 2$ (resp. $|B| \geq 2$). With these conditions on β_1, β_2, A and B we let $D(A, B, \beta_1, \beta_2)$ denote the set of elements in $\overline{M}_{0,n}(G/P, \beta)$ where the corresponding curve C is of the following form :

- C is the union of (at most nodal) curves C_A and C_B meeting in a point.
- The markings of A and B lie on C_A and C_B respectively.
- C_A and C_B represent the classes β_1 and β_2 respectively.

Notice here that our restrictions on A, B, β_1 and β_2 is the stability conditions on C_A and C_B . It is clear that every boundary element lies in at least one of these $D(A, B, \beta_1, \beta_2)$. The sets $D(A, B, \beta_1, \beta_2)$ are in fact closed, and we will regard them as subschemes of $\overline{M}_{0,n}(G/P, \beta)$ by giving them the reduced scheme structure. Closely related to $D(A, B, \beta_1, \beta_2)$ is the scheme $M(A, B, \beta_1, \beta_2)$ defined by the fibre square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} M(A, B, \beta_1, \beta_2) & \xrightarrow{p_2} & \overline{M}_{0,A \cup \{\cdot\}}(G/P, \beta_1) \times \overline{M}_{0,B \cup \{\cdot\}}(G/P, \beta_2) \\ p_1 \downarrow & & \downarrow \delta_{\cdot}^A \times \delta_{\cdot}^B \\ G/P & \xrightarrow{\Delta} & G/P \times G/P \end{array}$$

Here Δ is the diagonal embedding and $\delta_{\cdot}^A$ and $\delta_{\cdot}^B$ denotes the evaluation maps with respect to the point $\{\cdot\}$. In [3] it is proved that $M(A, B, \beta_1, \beta_2)$ is a normal projective variety and that we have a canonical map

$$M(A, B, \beta_1, \beta_2) \longrightarrow D(A, B, \beta_1, \beta_2).$$

This map is clearly surjective. As $M(A, B, \beta_1, \beta_2)$ is a closed subscheme of $\overline{M}_{0,A \cup \{ \cdot \}}(G/P, \beta_1) \times \overline{M}_{0,B \cup \{ \cdot \}}(G/P, \beta_2)$ we can regard the closed points of $M(A, B, \beta_1, \beta_2)$ as elements of the form (z_1, z_2) , where $z_1 \in \overline{M}_{0,A \cup \{ \cdot \}}(G/P, \beta_1)$ and $z_2 \in \overline{M}_{0,B \cup \{ \cdot \}}(G/P, \beta_2)$. The image of (z_1, z_2) in $D(A, B, \beta_1, \beta_2)$ will then be denoted by $z_1 \sqcup z_2$. Given $z_1 \in \overline{M}_{0,A \cup \{ \cdot \}}(G/P, \beta_1)$, $z_2 \in \overline{M}_{0,B \cup \{ \cdot \} \cup \{ * \}}(G/P, \beta_2)$ and $z_3 \in \overline{M}_{0,C \cup \{ * \}}(G/P, \beta_3)$, with $\delta_*(z_1) = \delta_*(z_2)$ and $\delta_*(z_2) = \delta_*(z_3)$, we then have the identity $(z_1 \sqcup z_2) \sqcup z_3 = z_1 \sqcup (z_2 \sqcup z_3)$ inside $\overline{M}_{0,A \cup B \cup C}(G/P, \beta_1 + \beta_2 + \beta_3)$.

2.4. G-action. As mentioned in the introduction we have a G -action

$$G \times \overline{M}_{0,n}(G/P, \beta) \rightarrow \overline{M}_{0,n}(G/P, \beta).$$

On closed points we can describe the action in the following way. Let $x \in \overline{M}_{0,n}(G/P, \beta)$ be a closed point corresponding to the data $(\mu : C \rightarrow G/P; p_1, \dots, p_n)$, and let g be a closed point in G . Then $g \cdot x$ is the point in $\overline{M}_{0,n}(G/P, \beta)$ corresponding to $(\mu_g : C \rightarrow G/P; p_1, \dots, p_n)$, where $\mu_g = (g \cdot) \circ \mu$. Here $g \cdot$ denotes multiplication with g on G/P .

2.5. Special cases. The following special cases of our main result follows from the construction and formal properties of our moduli spaces.

$\beta = 0$: Here the moduli space $\overline{M}_{0,n}(G/P, \beta)$ is canonical isomorphic to $\overline{M}_{0,n} \times G/P$, where $\overline{M}_{0,n}$ denote the moduli space of stable n -pointed curves of genus 0. As $\overline{M}_{0,n}$ is known to be irreducible [7] we get that $\overline{M}_{0,n}(G/P, 0)$ is irreducible.

$G/P = \mathbb{P}^1$: The irreducibility of $\overline{M}_{0,n}(\mathbb{P}^1, d)$ follows from the construction of the moduli space in [3]. First of all $\overline{M}_{0,0}(\mathbb{P}^1, 1) \cong \text{Spec}(\mathbb{C})$ so we may assume that $(n, d) \neq (0, 1)$. With this assumption $\overline{M}_{0,n}(\mathbb{P}^1, d)$ is the quotient of a variety M by a finite group. Now M is glued together by the moduli spaces $\overline{M}_{0,n}(\mathbb{P}^1, d, \bar{t})$ of $\bar{t}$ -maps spaces (here $\bar{t} = (t_0, t_1)$ is a basis of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(1)$). See section 3 in [3] for a definition of $\overline{M}_{0,n}(\mathbb{P}^1, d, \bar{t})$. The moduli spaces $\overline{M}_{0,n}(\mathbb{P}^1, d, \bar{t})$ are irreducible (in fact they are $\mathbb{C}^*$ -bundles over an open subscheme of $\overline{M}_{0,m}$ for a suitable m). This follows from the proof of Proposition 3.3 in [3]. It is furthermore clear that $\overline{M}_{0,n}(\mathbb{P}^1, d, \bar{t})$, and $\overline{M}_{0,n}(\mathbb{P}^1, d, \bar{t}')$ intersect non-trivially for different choices of bases $\bar{t}$ and $\bar{t}'$. This imply that $\overline{M}_{0,n}(\mathbb{P}^1, d)$ is connected, and as it is locally normal it must be irreducible.

3. FLAG VARIETIES

In this section we will give a short review on flag varieties. Main references will be [8], [1] and [5]. In [8] one can find the general theory on the structure of linear algebraic groups. The Chow group of G/B , where B is a Borel subgroup, can be found in [1]. From this one easily recovers the Chow group for a general flag variety G/P (e.g. [5] Section 1).

3.1. Schubert varieties. Let G be a complex connected linear algebraic group and P be a parabolic subgroup of G . As we will only be interested in the quotient G/P , we may assume that G is semisimple. Fix a maximal torus T and a Borel subgroup B such that

$$T \subseteq B \subseteq P \subseteq G.$$

Let W (resp. R) denote the Weyl group (resp. roots) associated to T and let R^+ denote the positive roots with respect to B . Let further $D \subseteq R^+$ denote the simple roots. Given $\alpha \in R$ we let $s_\alpha \in W$ denote the corresponding reflection.

From general theory on algebraic groups we know that P is associated to a unique subset $I \subseteq D$, such that $P = BW_I B$, where W_I is the subgroup of W generated by the reflections s_α

with $\alpha \in I$. The flag variety G/P is then the disjoint union of a finite number of B -invariant subsets $C(w) = BwP/P$ with $w \in W^I$, where

$$W^I = \{w \in W \mid w\alpha \in R^+ \text{ for all } \alpha \in I\}.$$

Each $C(w)$, $w \in W^I$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{A}^{l(w)}$. Here $l(w)$ denotes the length of a shortest expression of w as a product of simple reflections s_α , $\alpha \in D$. The closures of $C(w)$, $w \in W$, inside G/P is called the generalized Schubert varieties. We will denote them by X_w , $w \in W$, respectively. In case $l(w) = 1$ we have $X_w \cong \mathbb{P}^1$.

3.2. Chow group. The Chow group $A_*(G/P)$ is freely generated. As a basis we can pick $[X_w]$, $w \in W^I$. In [5] it is proved that this basis is orthogonal. Using that positive classes intersect in positive classes on G/P (Cor. 12.2 in [2]), we conclude that a class in $A_*(G/P)$ is positive (or zero) if and only if it is of the form

$$\sum_{w \in W^I} a_w [X_w] \text{ with } a_w \geq 0.$$

3.3. Effective classes. Let $\beta \in A_1(G/P)$. From above it is clear that β can only be effective (in the sense of Section 2), if β is a positive linear combination of $[X_{s_\alpha}]$ with $\alpha \in D \cap W^I = D \setminus I$. Noticing that $X_{s_\alpha} \cong \mathbb{P}^1$, $\alpha \in D \setminus I$, implies the inverse, that is, a positive linear combination of $[X_{s_\alpha}]$, $\alpha \in D \setminus I$ is effective.

Using the above we can introduce a partial ordering on the set of effective classes in $A_1(G/P)$.

Definition 1. Let β_1 and β_2 be effective classes. If there exist an effective class β_3 such that $\beta_2 = \beta_1 + \beta_3$ we write $\beta_1 \prec \beta_2$. If β is an effective class with the property

$$\beta' \prec \beta \Rightarrow \beta' = 0 \text{ or } \beta' = \beta$$

we say that β is irreducible. An effective class β is reducible if it is not irreducible.

Notice that a non-zero effective class β is irreducible if and only if $\beta = [X_{s_\alpha}]$ for some $\alpha \in D \setminus I$.

In the proof of the irreducibility of $\overline{M}_{0,n}(G/P, \beta)$ we will use induction on β with respect to this ordering. This is possible because given an effective class $\beta \in A_1(G/P)$, there is only finitely many other effective classes β' with $\beta' \prec \beta$.

3.4. Summary. We are ready to summarize what will be important for us

- The set of effective classes in $A_1(G/P)$ has a $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ -basis represented by B -invariant closed subvarieties X_{s_α} , $\alpha \in D \setminus I$, of G/P .
- The subsets X_{s_α} , $\alpha \in D \setminus I$ are the only B -invariant irreducible 1-dimensional closed subsets of G/P .
- $X_{s_\alpha} \cong \mathbb{P}^1$, $\alpha \in D \setminus I$.

4. BOUNDARY OF $\overline{M}_{0,n}(X, \beta)$

In this section we begin the proof of our main result. Remember that our convention is that whenever we write $\overline{M}_{0,n}(G/P, \beta)$, $D(A, B, \beta_1, \beta_2)$ or $M(A, B, \beta_1, \beta_2)$, we assume that these are well defined and non-empty. From now on we will assume that G , a semisimple linear algebraic group, and a parabolic subgroup P have been fixed. We let X denote G/P .

We will need to know when $D(A, B, \beta_1, \beta_2)$ is irreducible and for this purpose we have the following proposition.

Proposition 1. Suppose that $\overline{M}_{0,A \cup \{\cdot\}}(X, \beta_1)$ and $\overline{M}_{0,B \cup \{\cdot\}}(X, \beta_2)$ are irreducible. Then the scheme $M(A, B, \beta_1, \beta_2)$ is also irreducible. In particular $D(A, B, \beta_1, \beta_2)$ will be irreducible in this case.

Proof. As $M(A, B, \beta_1, \beta_2)$ is a normal scheme it splits up into a disjoint union of irreducible components $C_1, C_2, \dots, C_l$. Our task is to show that $l = 1$. Consider the natural map $\pi : G \rightarrow G/P$. Locally (in the Zariski topology) this map has a section ([4] p.183), i.e. there exists an open cover $\{U_i\}_{i \in I}$ of X (we assume $U_i \neq \emptyset$) and morphisms $s_i : U_i \rightarrow G$ such that $\pi \circ s_i$ is the identity map. By pulling back the covering $\{U_i\}_{i \in I}$ of X , by the evaluation maps $\delta_{\cdot}^A$ and $\delta_{\cdot}^B$, we get open coverings $\{V_i^A\}_{i \in I}$ and $\{V_i^B\}_{i \in I}$ of $\overline{M}_{0,A \cup \{\cdot\}}(X, \beta_1)$ and $\overline{M}_{0,B \cup \{\cdot\}}(X, \beta_2)$ respectively. Finally an open cover $\{W_i\}_{i \in I}$ of $M(A, B, \beta_1, \beta_2)$ is obtained by setting $W_i = p_1^{-1}(U_i) = p_2^{-1}(V_i^A \times V_i^B)$. We claim

$$\forall i, j \in I : W_i \cap W_j \neq \emptyset.$$

To see this consider $U_i, U_j \subseteq X$. As X is irreducible there exists a closed point $x \in U_i \cap U_j$. Using that G acts transitively on X we can choose elements $z_1 \in \overline{M}_{0,A \cup \{\cdot\}}(X, \beta_1)$ and $z_2 \in \overline{M}_{0,B \cup \{\cdot\}}(X, \beta_2)$, with $\delta_{\cdot}^A(z_1) = \delta_{\cdot}^B(z_2) = x$. With these choices it is clear that (z_1, z_2) correspond to a point in $W_i \cap W_j$.

Next we want to show that W_i is irreducible. For this consider the map

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_i : V_i^A \times V_i^B &\rightarrow \overline{M}_{0,A \cup \{\cdot\}}(X, \beta_1) \times \overline{M}_{0,B \cup \{\cdot\}}(X, \beta_2) \\ (z_1, z_2) &\mapsto (z_1, ((s_i \circ \delta_{\cdot}^A)(z_1))((s_i \circ \delta_{\cdot}^B)(z_2))^{-1} z_2) \end{aligned}$$

where we use the group action of G on $\overline{M}_{0,B \cup \{\cdot\}}(X, \beta_2)$. By definition ψ_i factors through W_i . We therefore have an induced map

$$\psi'_i : V_i^A \times V_i^B \rightarrow W_i.$$

Clearly $\psi'_i \circ p_2$ is the identity map. This implies that ψ'_i is surjective, and as $V_i^A \times V_i^B$ is irreducible, we get that W_i is irreducible.

At last we notice that as W_i is irreducible it must be contained in one of the components $C_1, C_2, \dots, C_l$ of $M(A, B, \beta_1, \beta_2)$. On the other hand the W_i 's intersect non-trivially so all of them must be contained in the same component. But $\{W_i\}_{i \in I}$ was an open cover of $M(A, B, \beta_1, \beta_2)$. We conclude that $l = 1$, as desired. Being a surjective image of $M(A, B, \beta_1, \beta_2)$ this implies that $D(A, B, \beta_1, \beta_2)$ is also irreducible. $\square$

5. PROPERTIES OF THE COMPONENTS OF $\overline{M}_{0,n}(X, \beta)$

In this section we study the behaviour of the components of $\overline{M}_{0,n}(X, \beta)$. Let $K_1, K_2, \dots, K_l$ denote the components of $\overline{M}_{0,n}(X, \beta)$. As $\overline{M}_{0,n}(X, \beta)$ is normal, the K_i 's are disjoint. Remember that we had a group action of G on $\overline{M}_{0,n}(X, \beta)$ which was introduced in Section 2. We claim

Lemma 1. Let K be a component of $\overline{M}_{0,n}(X, \beta)$. Then K is invariant under the group action of G on $\overline{M}_{0,n}(X, \beta)$.

Proof. Let $\eta : G \times \overline{M}_{0,n}(X, \beta) \rightarrow \overline{M}_{0,n}(X, \beta)$ denote the group action, and consider the image $\eta(G \times K)$ of $G \times K$. As $G \times K$ is irreducible $\eta(G \times K)$ will also be irreducible. This means that $\eta(G \times K)$ is contained in a component, say K_1 , of $\overline{M}_{0,n}(X, \beta)$. On the other hand $\eta(\{e\} \times K) \subseteq K$ (here e denotes the identity element in G) so we conclude that $K = K_1$. $\square$

The next lemma concerns the boundary of components of $\overline{M}_{0,0}(X, \beta)$ when β is reducible.

Lemma 2. Let β be a reducible effective class and K be a component of $\overline{M}_{0,0}(X, \beta)$. Then there exist boundary elements in K .

Proof. Assume K do not have boundary elements. Then by definition of boundary points, each element in K would correspond to an irreducible curve. Using Lemma 1 we have an induced B -action on K . As K is projective, and B is a connected solvable linear algebraic group, we can use Borel's fixed point Theorem (see [8] p.159) to conclude that this action has a fixed point. This means that there exist $z \in K$ such that $bz = z$, for all $b \in B$. Let $\mathbb{P}^1 \xrightarrow{\mu} X$ be the stable curve with its morphism to X which correspond to z . By definition of the group action of B on z we conclude that $\mu(\mathbb{P}^1)$ must be a B -invariant subset of X . On the other hand $\mu(\mathbb{P}^1)$ is closed, irreducible and of dimension 1. By the properties stated in Section 3 $\mu(\mathbb{P}^1)$ must be equal to a 1-dimensional Schubert variety X_{s_α} of X . From this we conclude that $\mu_*[\mathbb{P}^1] = m[X_{s_\alpha}]$, where m is a positive integer. As β is reducible $m \geq 2$. The closed embedding $i : X_{s_\alpha} \rightarrow X$ induces a map $i_* : \overline{M}_{0,0}(X_{s_\alpha}, m[X_{s_\alpha}]) \rightarrow \overline{M}_{0,0}(X, \beta)$, where an element $(C \xrightarrow{f} X_{s_\alpha}) \in \overline{M}_{0,0}(X_{s_\alpha}, m[X_{s_\alpha}])$ goes to $i_*(C \xrightarrow{f} X_{s_\alpha}) = (C \xrightarrow{i \circ f} X)$. As X_{s_α} is isomorphic to $\mathbb{P}^1$ we know that $\overline{M}_{0,0}(X_{s_\alpha}, m[X_{s_\alpha}])$ is irreducible. On the other hand z is in the image of i_* so we conclude that $i_*(\overline{M}_{0,0}(X_{s_\alpha}, m[X_{s_\alpha}])) \subseteq K$. But a boundary element in $\overline{M}_{0,0}(X_{s_\alpha}, m[X_{s_\alpha}])$ is easy to construct by hand (as $m \geq 2$), which gives us the desired contradiction. $\square$

The following will also be useful.

Lemma 3. Let $\beta \in A_1(X)$ be a reducible effective class and suppose that $\overline{M}_{0,0}(X, \beta')$ is irreducible for $\beta' \prec \beta$. Furthermore let K be a component of $\overline{M}_{0,0}(X, \beta)$. Then there exists a non-zero irreducible class β' , with $\beta - \beta'$ effective, such that $D(\emptyset, \emptyset, \beta', \beta - \beta') \cap K \neq \emptyset$.

Proof. By Lemma 2 we can choose a boundary point $z \in K$. There exists effective classes β_1 and β_2 such that $z \in D(\emptyset, \emptyset, \beta_1, \beta_2)$. We may assume that β_1 is reducible. Choose an effective non-zero irreducible class β' and an effective class β'' such that $\beta_1 = \beta' + \beta''$. Choose also $z_1 \in \overline{M}_{0,\{Q_1\}}(X, \beta')$, $z_2 \in \overline{M}_{0,\{Q_1\} \cup \{Q_2\}}(X, \beta'')$ and $z_3 \in \overline{M}_{0,\{Q_2\}}(X, \beta_2)$, such that $\delta_{Q_1}(z_1) = \delta_{Q_1}(z_2)$ and $\delta_{Q_2}(z_2) = \delta_{Q_2}(z_3)$. Then $z_1 \sqcup z_2 \in \overline{M}_{0,\{Q_2\}}(X, \beta_1)$ from which we conclude $(z_1 \sqcup z_2) \sqcup z_3 \in D(\emptyset, \emptyset, \beta_1, \beta_2)$. On the other hand $z_2 \sqcup z_3 \in \overline{M}_{0,\{Q_1\}}(X, \beta - \beta')$ by which we conclude $z_1 \sqcup (z_2 \sqcup z_3) \in D(\emptyset, \emptyset, \beta', \beta - \beta')$. Using Proposition 1 we know that $D(\emptyset, \emptyset, \beta_1, \beta_2)$ is irreducible

and as $z \in D(\emptyset, \emptyset, \beta_1, \beta_2) \cap K$, we must have $D(\emptyset, \emptyset, \beta_1, \beta_2) \subseteq K$, in particular $(z_1 \sqcup z_2) \sqcup z_3 \in K$. On the other hand

$$(z_1 \sqcup z_2) \sqcup z_3 = z_1 \sqcup (z_2 \sqcup z_3) \in D(\emptyset, \emptyset, \beta', \beta - \beta').$$

This proves the lemma. $\square$

6. IRREDUCIBILITY OF $\overline{M}_{0,n}(X, \beta)$

In this section we will prove that the moduli spaces $\overline{M}_{0,n}(X, \beta)$ are irreducible. First we notice that for $\beta \neq 0$ we can restrict our attention to a fixed n .

Lemma 4. Let $n_1, n_2 \geq 0$ be integers and $\beta \in A_1(X) \setminus \{0\}$ be an effective class. Then $\overline{M}_{0,n_1}(X, \beta)$ is irreducible if and only if $\overline{M}_{0,n_2}(X, \beta)$ is irreducible.

Proof. It is enough to consider the case $n_2 = n + 1$ and $n_1 = n$ for a positive integer n . The contraction morphism $f : \overline{M}_{0,n+1}(X, \beta) \rightarrow \overline{M}_{0,n}(X, \beta)$ which forgets the $(n + 1)$ 'th point is a surjective map with connected fibres. Let $K_1, K_2, \dots, K_s$ (resp. $C_1, C_2, \dots, C_t$) be the components of $\overline{M}_{0,n+1}(X, \beta)$ (resp. $\overline{M}_{0,n}(X, \beta)$). As the components are mutually disjoint and f is surjective we must have $s \geq t$. Let us now restrict our attention to one of the components of $\overline{M}_{0,n}(X, \beta)$, say C_1 . Assume that $K_1, K_2, \dots, K_r$ ($r \leq s$) are the components which by f maps to C_1 . It will be enough to show that $r = 1$. Assume $r \geq 2$. As

$$C_1 = \bigcup_{i=1}^r f(K_i)$$

and as C_1 is irreducible, at least one of the components $K_1, K_2, \dots, K_r$ maps surjectively onto C_1 . So there must exist a point x in C_1 which is in the image of at least 2 of the components in $\overline{M}_{0,n+1}(X, \beta)$. But then the fibre of f over x is not connected, which is a contradiction. $\square$

The idea in proving the irreducibility of $\overline{M}_{0,n}(X, \beta)$ is to use induction on the class $\beta \in A_1(X)$. By this we mean that we will prove that $\overline{M}_{0,n}(X, \beta)$ is irreducible assuming the same condition is true for $\beta' \prec \beta$. The first step in the induction procedure will be to show that $\overline{M}_{0,0}(X, \beta)$ is irreducible, when β is a non-zero irreducible class.

Lemma 5. Let β be a non-zero irreducible class. Then $\overline{M}_{0,0}(X, \beta)$ is irreducible.

Proof. As β is a non-zero irreducible class, β must be the class of a 1-dimensional Schubert variety X_{s_α} . Let K be a component of $\overline{M}_{0,0}(X, \beta)$. As in the proof of Lemma 2 we have a B -action on K , which by Borel's fixed point theorem is forced to have a fixed point. Let $x \in K$ be a fixed point. As β is irreducible x must correspond to an irreducible curve, i.e. x correspond to a map of the form $\mathbb{P}^1 \xrightarrow{\mu} X$. The image $\mu(\mathbb{P}^1)$ is a closed 1-dimensional B -invariant irreducible subset of X . As by assumption $\mu_*[\mathbb{P}^1] = [\overline{X}_{s_\alpha}]$, we conclude that $\mu(\mathbb{P}^1) = X_{s_\alpha}$. Now X_{s_α} is isomorphic to $\mathbb{P}^1$, so μ must be an isomorphism onto its image. But clearly every map $\mathbb{P}^1 \xrightarrow{f} X$ with $f(\mathbb{P}^1) = X_{s_\alpha}$, which is an isomorphism onto its image, represent the same point in $\overline{M}_{0,0}(X, \beta)$. Above we have shown that this point belongs to every component of $\overline{M}_{0,0}(X, \beta)$. Using that the components of $\overline{M}_{0,0}(X, \beta)$ are disjoint the lemma follows. $\square$

Now we are ready for the general case.

Theorem 1. Let $\beta \in A_1(X)$ be an effective class and $X = G/P$ be a flag variety. Then $\overline{M}_{0,n}(X, \beta)$ is irreducible for every positive integer n .

Proof. The case $\beta = 0$ is trivial as noted in Section 2. By Lemma 4 we may therefore assume that $n = 0$. As remarked above we will proceed by induction. Assume that the theorem has been proven for β' with $\beta' \prec \beta$. Referring to Lemma 5 we may assume that β is reducible. Write $\beta = \sum_{i=1}^m \beta_i$ as a sum of non-zero irreducible effective classes β_i . Then $m \geq 2$. We divide into 2 cases.

Assume first that $m = 2$. So $\beta = \beta' + \beta''$, where β' and β'' are effective irreducible classes. In this case every boundary element lie in $D(\emptyset, \emptyset, \beta', \beta'')$, which we by induction know is irreducible (Proposition 1). On the other hand do every component of $\overline{M}_{0,0}(X, \beta)$ contain a boundary point (by Lemma 2). Using that the components of $\overline{M}_{0,0}(X, \beta)$ are disjoint, the theorem follows in this case.

Assume therefore that $m \geq 3$. For each $i = 1, \dots, m$ choose $z_i \in \overline{M}_{0,\{Q_i\}}(X, \beta_i)$, a point such that $\delta_{Q_i}(z_i) = eP$, where δ_{Q_i} is the evaluation map onto X . Let $Q = \{Q_1, Q_2, \dots, Q_m\}$, and choose a point $z_0 \in \overline{M}_{0,Q}(X, 0)$ corresponding to a curve $C \cong \mathbb{P}^1$ and a map $\mu : C \rightarrow X$ such that $\mu(C) = eP$. Define

$$z = z_0 \sqcup (\sqcup_{i=1}^m z_i) \in \overline{M}_{0,0}(X, \beta).$$

Then clearly $z \in D(\emptyset, \emptyset, \beta_i, \beta - \beta_i)$ for all i . Let K be the component of $\overline{M}_{0,0}(X, \beta)$ which contains z . By the induction hypothesis and Proposition 1, $D(\emptyset, \emptyset, \beta_i, \beta - \beta_i)$ is irreducible for all i , which implies $D(\emptyset, \emptyset, \beta_i, \beta - \beta_i) \subseteq K$ for all i . On the other hand, by Lemma 3, every component of $\overline{M}_{0,0}(X, \beta)$ will intersect at least one of the sets $D(\emptyset, \emptyset, \beta_i, \beta - \beta_i)$. Using, and now for the last time, that the components of $\overline{M}_{0,0}(X, \beta)$ are disjoint, the theorem follows. $\square$

Corollary 1. Let $X = G/P$ be a flag variety. Then the boundary divisors $D(A, B, \beta_1, \beta_2)$ of $\overline{M}_{0,n}(X, \beta)$ are irreducible.

Proof. Use Proposition 1 and Theorem 1. $\square$

REFERENCES

1. M. Demazure, *Désingularisation des Variétés de Schubert généralisées*, Ann. Scient. ENS **7** (1974), 53–88.
2. W. Fulton, *Intersection Theory*, Springer Verlag, 1983.
3. W. Fulton and R. Pandharipande, *Notes on stable maps and quantum cohomology*, Mittag-Leffler Report No.4 (1996/97).
4. J. C. Jantzen, *Representations of Algebraic Groups*, Academic Press, 1987.
5. B. Köck, *Chow motif and higher Chow theory of G/P* , Manuscripta math. **70** (1991), 363–372.
6. B. Kim and R. Pandharipande, *Connectedness of the space of stable maps to G/P* , In preparation.
7. F. Knudsen, *Projectivity of the moduli space of stable curves. II*, Math. Scand. **52** (1983), 161–199.
8. T. A. Springer, *Linear algebraic groups*, Birkhäuser, 1981.

MATEMATISK INSTITUT, AARHUS UNIVERSITET, NY MUNKEGADE, DK-8000 ÅRHUS C, DENMARK
E-mail address: funch@mi.aau.dk